

# Exhibit 4

1  
2  
3  
4                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
5                   CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
6

7 JENNIFER BENTLEY, as trustee of  
8 the 2001 Bentley Family Trust, and  
9 others similarly situated,

10                   Plaintiff,

11                   v.

12                   UNITED OF OMAHA LIFE  
13                   INSURANCE CO.,

14                   Defendant,

15                   No. 15-cv-07870-DMG (AJWx)

16                   **DECLARATION OF DAVID S.  
17 KLEVATT IN SUPPORT OF CLASS  
18 PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR AN  
19 AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES  
20 AND EXPENSES, AND SERVICE  
21 AWARDS**

22                   Date: July 29, 2022

23                   Time: 10 a.m.

24                   Judge: Hon. Dolly M. Gee

25                   Courtroom: 8C

26

27

28

1 I, David S. Klevatt, declare as follows:

2       1. I am an attorney licensed to practice before the courts of Illinois,  
3 am admitted *Pro Hac Vice* in this matter, and a partner in the law firm of  
4 Klevatt & Associates, LLC. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated in  
5 this declaration and, if called as a witness, I could and would testify  
6 competently to them. I make this declaration in support of my firm's request  
7 for attorneys' fees and reimbursement of litigation expenses, as set forth in  
8 Plaintiffs' motion for attorneys' fees, expenses, and service awards.

9       2. My firm is counsel of record in this case and represents named  
10 Plaintiff, Jennifer Bentley, the class representative, and the Class this Court  
11 has certified. My firm's biographies for the principal attorneys working on this  
12 case were attached to our previous motion for fees, costs and an incentive  
13 award ("Prior Petition") that this Court granted in large part following entry of  
14 summary judgment in favor of the Class (ECF No. 183-2). This declaration  
15 presents the consolidated time and expenses for the firm, Klevatt &  
16 Associates, LLC.

17       3. Throughout the course of this litigation counsel carefully  
18 coordinated their activities to avoid engaging in duplicative work.

19       4. As the initial and primary counsel for Ms. Bentley personally, we  
20 were responsible for the initial research and legal theory that the Statutes  
21 applied and were violated. We conducted the initial settlement discussions  
22 with Defendant's in-house counsel and presented our claims to the California  
23 Department of Insurance. Throughout the litigation and used our extensive  
24 experience in the area of insurance law to guide the substantive areas of the  
25 litigation. In addition, we were the primary point of contact for Ms. Bentley,  
26 the Plaintiff and Class representative.

27       5. Each co-counsel was responsible for work specific to our clients  
28 and certain other Class members and assisted in review of documents,

1 preparation of pleadings, and strategy with a distinct effort to avoid  
2 overlapping tasks and avoid duplicative work.

3       6. During the course of the litigation, my firm performed the  
4 following tasks:

- 5           a. Initial legal analysis and legal research of the Statutes, claims  
6           and demands upon Defendant.
- 7           b. Communications with the California Department of  
8           Insurance.
- 9           c. Initial communications with Defendant's in-house counsel  
10          to attempt to resolve the dispute.
- 11          d. Review and revisions of the initial Complaint and Amended  
12          Complaints.
- 13          e. Review and revisions of discovery, including document  
14          requests, interrogatories, requests for admission, subpoenas,  
15          and depositions, and meet and confer conferences regarding  
16          same.
- 17          f. Review and revisions of discovery motions.
- 18          g. On-going team assistance in the area of insurance coverage  
19          and insurance company operations.
- 20          h. Review and revisions of Opposition to Motion to Dismiss  
21          and premature Motion to Deny Class Certification filed by  
22          the Defendant.
- 23          i. Preparing class representative Jennifer Bentley to testify at  
24          deposition and defense of her deposition.
- 25          j. Review and revisions of the Motion for Class Certification  
26          and related briefing.

27  
28

1                   k. Review and revisions of Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary  
2                   Judgment and Opposition to Defendants' Cross Motion for  
3                   Summary Judgment and related briefing.  
4                   l. Participation in oral argument on Motions for Class  
5                   Certification and Summary Judgment.  
6                   m. Drafting sections of the cross appeal and responding to  
7                   Defendant's appeal in the Ninth Circuit.  
8                   n. Review and revision of appellate briefings.  
9                   o. Ongoing legal research concerning California law and  
10                  rulings on the Statutes.  
11                  p. Participating in settlement negotiations, mediation and  
12                  review and revisions of the Settlement Agreement.

13                 7. My firm regularly prepared and maintained files

14 contemporaneously documenting all time spent, including tasks performed,  
15 and expenses incurred. All of the time and expenses reported by my firm were  
16 incurred for the benefit of this litigation. The role and contributions of the  
17 attorneys from Klevatt & Associates, LLC involved in the litigation are as  
18 follows:

19                 • David S. Klevatt: Mr. Klevatt was the initial counsel involved  
20                 in this case from the inception and managed the insurance  
21                 coverage aspects of the litigation. His efforts included, *inter*  
22                 *alia*: (i) Initial legal analysis and legal research of the Statutes;  
23                 communications with the California Department of  
24                 Insurance; initial communications with Defendant's in-  
25                 house counsel to attempt to resolve the dispute; review and  
26                 revisions of the initial Complaint and Amended  
27                 Complaints; (ii) drafting and revising written discovery; (iii)  
28                 defense of Plaintiff's deposition and assistance in  
                       preparation of Defendant depositions; (iv) responding to  
                       Defendant's motions to dismiss; (v) reviewing and revising  
                       summary judgment papers and preparing for and  
                       participating in oral argument on summary judgment; (vi)

1 drafting significant aspects of the appeal and cross-appeal  
2 legal arguments and assisting counsel for appellate oral  
3 argument; (vii) reviewing and assisting mediation  
4 submissions and conducting settlement negotiations; and  
5 (viii) primary responsibility to communicate, inform and  
counsel Plaintiff and Class Representative.

6 • Timothy M. Howe. Mr. Howe, a partner in Klevatt &  
7 Associates, LLC, provided senior litigation counsel on key  
8 aspects of the matter. This included (i) strategizing and editing  
9 discovery requests, briefing of the multiple motions and  
summary judgment briefing; (ii) strategizing the appeal and  
cross-appeal; (iii) registration of the initial judgment, bond  
issues, consideration of pre- and post-judgment interest  
issues; (iii) strategizing the negotiation of the settlement,  
including the mediation and settlement agreement.

12       8. Detailed time and expense records supporting this summary  
13 information are available, if requested by the Court.

14       9. Klevatt & Associates, LLC's lodestar is \$342,261.20, and its  
15 litigation expenses are \$1000<sup>1</sup>, for a total investment of \$343,261.20. A  
16 breakdown of the lodestar by lawyer involved in this litigation follows:

17              David S. Klevatt \$338,446.90

18              Timothy M. Howe \$3,814.30

19       The total number of hours reasonably expended on this litigation by my  
20 firm from inception to May 1, 2022 is 577.20 hours. The total lodestar for my  
21 firm is \$342,261.20. The hourly rates provided for my firm's attorneys and  
22 professional support staff are the same as our usual and customary hourly  
23 rates. My firm handles both contingency cases and hourly work for paying  
24 clients and the rates represent i) standard rates charged to clients in similar

---

25  
26  
27       <sup>1</sup> Our firm incurred some additional expenses, but we acknowledge the  
Court's Prior Fee Order reimbursing our firm \$1,000 in expenses and do not  
28 seek reimbursement beyond that amount.

1 matters or, ii) for counsel that work overwhelmingly on contingency matters,  
2 the rates charged for their services on a contingent basis in similar complex  
3 class action litigation

4 WHEREFORE, I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the  
5 United States that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my  
6 knowledge.

7

8 Executed this 17th day of May, 2022

9

10 *David S Klevatt*  
11 David S. Klevatt

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28